

Liebeler and Griffin (with Dwight McDonald, Popkin, Sauvage, Meagher, Robt. Silvers, moderator, Fall 1966, Theater for Ideas, broadcast by Pacifica. Counter numbers on Wollensak.

Liebeler(?) 165: "No hard fact that precludes the single-bullet theory". This is both false and not the point. Proving something is not impossible does not prove it happened. It was the function of the Commission to prove things. In this case, it conjectured a known impossibility.

" 185: It is possible Oswald was the assassin even if the single-bullet theory is invalid. This on the basis of an earlier shot, which he also says was possible. However, this would rule out both the single-bullet theory and a single assassin, for there is at least one missed shot, acknowledged.

Griffin: "He'd be utter fools to have written a Report based on the FBI summaries Liebeler: If there was no single-bullet theory, where did the bullet go? The obvious answer to this is in the other direction the Commission pretended was not possible but was consistent with all the evidence.

Liebeler: 495 There is no question about it. The fact that the Report says all the evidence supports the single-bullet theory is simply not correct. The Report is wrong in that respect. There is no doubt about it."

Liebeler said this as though it were not the basis for questioning all other statements in the Report; It is. He here acknowledge a simple, direct lie, in the Report. It cannot be an accident. If the authors could-and according to Liebeler did-lie about something as major as this, on what can they be trusted?

L or G: 617: If I could find a conspiracy in this thing I would be a national hero. And I'd just love to be a national hero."

sh 670: "No direct evidence" of proof the rifle was in the garage and was taken into the TSBD 11/22/63. Repeatedly says two witnesses said sack only 8 inches sh shorter than "found" one. Not true: rifle was 8 " shorter longer than pkg seen. This only if disassembled, on which there is no evidence.

1022: "I can go through the Report and find all kinds of mistakes like that", i.e., that Western ammo presumed to have been used in assassination was in current production. How many such "mistakes" can there be without raising disqualification of the entire Report of such "mistakes". ~~xxxxxxx~~

1045; Beginning of question period. Liebeler jokes to open, asking for a Salem.

Griffin 1092: admits investigators did not have necessary investigative tools!

1095: "The Commission made a very strong attempt to get those pictures (autopsy). Griffin responded, "I prefer to leave this question - of policy- to someone else." This was not a question of policy but of essential evidence that nothing else could replace.

Liebeler: 1120: "Pictures themselves were not developed at that time or used by the autopsy surgeons for the obvious reason that they didn't need them-they had, if you will-they had the President there himself. They didn't have to look at the pictures. The undeveloped pictures were turned over to the United States Secret Service..." What a lulu. The autopsy was completed about 11 p.m. the night of 11-22-63. The autopsy report was not written until the late morning two days later. The autopsy doctors did not have the corpse and they also knew they would not. Liebeler knew better. This is a lie.

Griffin-1140: "The only thing the X-rays could show was whether or not there were bullets still in the President's body." No broken bones, if any?

Liebeler-1165: "No question ~~xxxxxxx~~ has been raised" about head wound", so he is not for making the pictures and X-rays available, save under limited conditions, of ~~xxxxxxx~~ the "back" wound.

Liebeler-1168: When asked who do private people have the pictures and X-rays, blamed in on the Chief Justice, damning with faint praise.

Liebeler-1185: Said the guide lines were set up by the FBI and Secret Service and that what is held back has no pertinence to the case!

Griffin-1220: "I've read two of the books very carefully", Lanes & Epstein's. He concluded after, he said, checking them out that the Commission was right. Say he has checked Sauvage and Popkin NY Review article. Nothing to them. He had a copy of WHITEPASH by mid-July, really earlier, and made no mention of it.

Liebeler-1250: "Gone through the books with a fine tooth comb." Says they (apparently meaning either all of them or all but mine, have serious errors in analysis. He then said, "Weisberg hasn't" and dropped it, saying nothing more.

~~K(X)12751~~
L(✓)1275: The Commission should have set forth all the evidence on both sides on Oswald's guilt or innocence-but didn't. He is doing it in his books, which he hopes "will be done by Spring"/ It wasn't. Obviously, this is one of his little cuties. The Commission did not dare print the evidence of O's innocence.

1295: Don't the many doubts that have been raised require the government to continue its investigation- does Liebeler know of any such investigation? Liebeler's first tried to (and did) evade, saying what is not an answer, that the Report should have given the evidence on both sides. When the

1317 question was repeated, by the professor of law who asked it, L said it was his "understanding and belief" that the investigation was continuing, by FBI.

G#iffin-1340: "Could not rely very much on what" what the Dallas police said. "We were all aware from the very beginning that cerysin investigative agencies had vested interests...would be inclined to coverup..."

1442: Still G: "I am also satisfied that the conclusions of the Commission were proper" and with his own performance. It is strange to refer to conclusions as proper rather than right.

Tape ends 1465.